UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/578,896	03/20/2007	Kaoru Yokota	2006_0662A	3611	
	7590 09/02/201 , LIND & PONACK L	EXAMINER			
1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2010	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	No. Applicant(s)				
		10/578,89	96	YOKOTA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		YOGESH	K. AGGARWAL	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 June 2010						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
<i>'</i> —	<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dienositi	on of Claims	aoi Exparto de	3,70, 1000 0.2. 11, 10	70 0.0. 210.				
-								
	Claim(s) 3-11,20-22 and 26 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
'=	5) Claim(s) <u>20-22</u> is/are allowed.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>3-5,7,8 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>6 and 9-11</u> is/are objected to.							
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docured Certified Copies of the December 2.	ments have bee	n received. n received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Br							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.				
A44- 1	W-2							
Attachmen			4) Intonées Comme	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/578,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 06/21/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### **Examiner's response:**

2. Applicant argues with regards to amended claim 1 that Aoki fails to teach " a receiving unit operable to receive first information and second information relating to image processing from one or more transmission devices, that the second information indicates image modification corresponding to an object, that a judging unit makes a judgment based on the first information, and that if the judging unit judges that an image is to be processed and output, an output unit performs the image modification indicated by the second information on the image". The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Aoki teaches at col. 7 lines 28-33, figure 9, step S901 if a blinking pixel in video exists. If the blinking pixel exists, the video is processed by converting the blinking pattern into ID information (step S902). If blinking pattern does not exist the video is not processed (see S905). Therefore the receiving unit (camera 701) operable to receive first information (blinking pattern information) is used to judge whether or not to perform image processing. Since this blinking pixel is a part of video and is processed (if it exists) to convert the blinking pattern into ID information, the image is judged as being processed or not based on the first information.

Aoki further teaches that if the ID information (second information) requests video processing e.g. "don't take a picture", video processing device 706 smudges the "don't take a picture of me" portion e.g., blacks the portion, or writes a character or sign representing "don't take a picture of me" in the video, and then outputs the processed video (col. 7 lines 35-47,

Art Unit: 2622

figure9). Therefore the claimed limitation is read as ID information is the second information relating to image processing from one or more transmission devices (tags), that the second information indicates image modification (blacks the image) corresponding to an object, an output unit performs the image modification indicated by the second information on the image.

Examiner understands applicant's invention in which the first transmission device is a gate which prestores the first information, and through which a user carrying the digital camera passes, and the second transmission device is an IC tag which prestores the second information, and which is attached to, hung around, or provided near the object as recited by claim 9. This feature is not taught by Aoki and therefore this claim would be indicated as allowable. However the independent claim is broad and therefore is broadly read on Aoki.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3-5, 7, 8 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki (US Patent # 6,853,750).

[Claim 3]

A digital camera comprising (col. 4 lines 23-28): an imaging unit (CCD, col. 4 lines 37-41) operable to generate an image by photographing an object; a receiving unit (blinking light decoder 704 in figure 8) operable to receive information relating to image processing from one or more transmission devices (subject 803 has a tag 802 in figure 10) and a judging unit (video

Art Unit: 2622

processing device 706) operable to judge whether the image is to be output or not, based on the information received by the receiving unit and an output unit operable to, in accordance with the judgment made by the judging unit, output the image to a memory, or discard the image, the memory being readable by the digital camera (col. 5 lines 5-9, col. 7 lines 10-48, specifically col. 7 lines 40-48)

wherein the receiving unit receives the first information from a first transmission device; and receives the second information indicating from a second transmission device, wherein the judging unit makes the judgment based on the first information, and wherein if the judging unit judges that the image is to be processed and output, the output unit performs the image modification indicated by the second information on the image, and outputs the modified image to the memory (col. 7 lines 40-57).

#### [Claim 4]

Aoki teaches wherein the output unit performs the image modification to make the image visually distinguishable (col. 7 lines 40-57).

### [Claim 5]

Aoki teaches wherein when the second receiving unit receives the second information, the judging unit examines whether the first receiving unit has received the first information, and if the first receiving unit has received the first information, the judging unit judges that the image is to be processed and output (col. 7 lines 40-57).

#### [Claim 7]

Aoki teaches wherein when the second receiving unit receives the second information, the judging unit examines whether the first receiving unit has received the first information, if the

first receiving unit has received the first information, the judging unit judges that the image is to be output without being processed (col. 7 lines 40-57).

[Claim 8]

Aoki teaches wherein when the second receiving unit receives the second information, the judging unit examines whether the first receiving unit has received the first information, if the first receiving unit has received the first information, the judging unit judges that the image is not to be output (col. 7 lines 40-57).

[Claim 26]

Aoki teaches wherein the receiving unit comprises: a first receiving unit operable to receive the first information from the first transmission device; and a second receiving unit operable to receive the second information from the second transmission device (col. 7 lines 10-48).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 6, 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 20-22 are allowed.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH K. AGGARWAL whose telephone number is (571)272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571)-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.